1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	NORTHERN DIS	BIN & DEMAIN TES DISTRICT COURT STRICT OF CALIFORNIA NCISCO DIVISION
17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	RICOH COMPANY, LTD.,  Plaintiff,  vs.  AEROFLEX INCORPORATED, et al.,  Defendants.	CASE NO. CV 03-04669-MJJ  RICOH'S UNOPPOSED MOTION TO SHORTEN TIME FOR HEARING ON RICOH'S CROSS MOTION TO CONSOLIDATE  Date: Not Set Time: Not Set Courtroom: 11

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

22

21

23 24

25

26

27

28

Plaintiff, Ricoh Company, Ltd. (Ricoh), seeks an Order from this Court under Local Rule 6-3(a) to advance the time for hearing on Ricoh's cross-motion to consolidate from January 6, 2004 to December 9, 2003, when a hearing is already scheduled on the related issue of defendants' motion to stay. This motion is not opposed by defendants. Counsel for Ricoh and defendants have agreed that defendants' response may be incorporated into their reply in support of their motion for stay, and Ricoh waives any further written response in support of this motion. There have been no previous requests by any party to reschedule this motion to consolidate. Defendants' counsel have stated they have no objection to Ricoh's cross-motion to consolidate being heard on December 9, 2003. A proposed order is submitted herewith.

## RELIEF REQUESTED

Ricoh seeks an Order from this Court scheduling a hearing on Ricoh's cross-motion to consolidate on December 9, 2003, in conjunction with the previously scheduled hearing on defendants' motion for stay. Defendants' response may be incorporated into their reply in support of their motion, and Ricoh waives any further written response.

# MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

#### I. STATEMENT OF ISSUE TO BE DECIDED

Whether this Court should consider Ricoh's cross-motion to consolidate at the same time that it considers defendants' motion to stay?

#### II. ARGUMENT

In response to defendants' motion to stay, Ricoh has explained why that motion should be denied and why the two cases should be consolidated. All of Ricoh's arguments in favor of

28

consolidation are set forth in its brief in opposition to defendants' motion to stay. It makes sense to consider both issues at the same time.

### **III CONCLUSION**

For the foregoing reasons, the Court should reset the date for hearing on Ricoh's cross-motion to consolidate to December 9, 2003.

Dated: November 25, 2003

Respectfully submitted,

Ricoh Company, Ltd.

By: Kenneth Brothers

Jeffrey B. Demain, State Bar No. 126715

Jonathan Weissglass, State Bar No. 185008

Altshuler, Berzon, Nussbaum, Rubin & Demain 177 Post Street, Suite 300

San Francisco, California 94108

Phone: (415) 421-7151

Fax: (415) 362-8064

Gary M. Hoffman Ken Brothers Eric Oliver DICKSTEIN SHAPIRO MORIN & OSHINSKY LLP 2101 L Street NW Washington, D.C. 20037-1526 Telephone: (202) 785-9700 Facsimile: (202) 887-0689

Attorneys for Ricoh Company, Ltd.